

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,730	0/660,730 09/12/2003		Abraham Gross	Q77482	4923	
23373	7590	05/25/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE	E MION,	PLLC	HEINRICH, SAMUEL M			
2100 PENN	SYLVAN	IA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON. DC	20037	1725			

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/660,730	10/660,730 GROSS ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Samuel M. H	einrich	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>15 May 2006</u> .							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑	This action is non-	final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for	formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>188-191</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>188-191</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	·							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				102.				
	orojan priority undor	25116.0 \$ 110/0	) (d) == (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under	35 U.S.C. 9 119(a	)-(a) or (i).					
, <u> </u>								
and the second s								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)			·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	o				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) 5) 6)		Patent Application (PT	U-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	ffice Action Summary		ort of Paper No./Mail D	Pate 20060523				

Application/Control Number: 10/660,730

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment After Final, filed May 15, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 188-191 under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made additionally incorporating USPN 5,463,200 to James et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 188-191 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,515,257 to Jain et al in view of USPN 5,463,200 to James et al. Jain et al

Application/Control Number: 10/660,730 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

shows (Figure 1) laser source 26 which provides input to microlens array 10 and micromirror array 12 directs the beamlets independently. The via generation system comprises a focus lens 44. James et al describe (column 2, lines 1-9) the laser spot can be adjusted by changing the focal length of the lens or by moving the workpiece. Adjustment of the focal length by moving a lens would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because because the adjustment changes the spot size. Further, see the Abstract of James et al for a description of splitting the beam into a plurality of beams and independent focusing with respect to how each beamlet strikes the workpiece, and James et al describe (column 8, lines 14+) the use of arrays of acousto-optical deflection devices.

Claims 190 and 191 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,515,257 to Jain et al in view of USPN 5,463,200 to James et al as applied to claim 189 above, and further in view of JP406043505A or in view of JP02003051142A. Both JP406043505A and JP02003051142A describe well known acoustooptical deflecting elements. The use thereof would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art for modulating the beam.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725